

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,503	04/04/2002	Timo Vitikainen	4925-190PUS	2657
7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
Michael C Stuart			SHIFERAW, ELENI A	
•	Lieberman & Pavane	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue				TATER NOMBER
			2136	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/	018,503	VITIKAINEN, TIMO			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit			
			ni A Shiferaw	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Inunication. io) days, a reply within atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	in no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>04 April 20</u>	<u>002</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Control of	a) accepted ction to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCombe (GB 2 280 285 A) in view of Dahm et al. (Dahm, Patent No. US 6,301,471 B1).

As per claims 1 and 16, McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device for identifying a subscriber of a first network (2) in a second network, wherein authentication server functionality for a VAS platform is provided, comprising the steps of:

- a) allocating an address of said second network (9) to said subscriber (McCombe page 3 lines 4-page 4 lines 11; providing similar identification numbers for distant subscribers); and
- b) generating information about a mapping between the subscriber's address said second network (9) and a subscriber identity (McCombe page 11 lines 12-23; generating IMSI, and MSISDN);

McCombe does not explicitly teach identifying a subscriber in the VAS platform based on the mapping info.

Art Unit: 2136

However Dahm discloses:

c) transmitting the mapping to said second network (Dahm col. 8 lines 15-20, and col. 9 lines 58-col. 10 lines 4; subscriber ID, proxy server transmitting mobile phone subscriber's request with subscriber ID), wherein said subscriber is identified in the VAS platform based on said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 20-24; subscriber is identified and VAS is provided in the second network server to the identified subscriber), wherein said authentication client means (52) is a RADIUS client (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440; remote second network server).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Dahm within the system of McCombe because it would identify a subscriber from the second network server and the second network server offers a value added service to the identified subscriber of the first network.

As per claim 10, it has similar limitations as claim 1; therefore, it is being rejected under the same rationale over McCombe in view of Dahm. In addition, Dahm teaches:

- b) an authentication server (8) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440 and col. 10 lines 10-12 and col. 10 lines 59-65) provided in said second network (9) and adapted to log and maintain said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-65);
- c) wherein said authentication server (8) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440) is a server for a VAS platform (7) (Dahm col. 10 lines 19-24) provided in said second network (9) (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440), wherein said VAS platform (7) is adapted to identify said subscriber (1) based on said mapping information (Dahm col. 10 lines 19-24 and col. 8 lines 16-20).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 2, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. An authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is transmitted to said second network, when said mapping between said address in said second network and the subscriber identity has changed (McCombe col. 3 lines 4-col. 4 lines 11; it is obvious to transmit new mapping information to the second network server when mapping information and subscriber identity has changed because it would always be available in the authentication server for value-added service).

As per claims 3, 14, 18, and 37-39, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said subscriber identity is at least one of an IMSI and an MSISDN of the subscriber (McCombe col. 11 lines 12-23).

As per claims 4, 19, and 20, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is transmitted in an access request message (Dahm col. 9 lines 58-col. 10 lines 4 and col. 11 lines 1-31). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 5, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said request access message is a RADIUS access request message (McCombe col. 8 lines 13-22).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 6, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication server functionality is included in the VAS platform (Dahm col. 10 lines 20-24). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 7, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication server functionality is provided by a dedicated authentication server (Dahm col. 10 lines 9-12 and lines 59-65). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 8, and 21-26, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is generated by authentication client functionality in a GGSN (Dahm col. 10 lines 52-col. 11 lines 12). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 9 and 27-28, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition McCombe teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said mapping information is used for at least one of a service specific charging and addressing of mobile terminals (McCombe col. 3 lines 14-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,503

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 11, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said gateway device is a GGSN (5) (Dahm col. 7 lines 8-28). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 12 and 34, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication client means (52) is a RADIUS client (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 13, 35, and 36, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said server (8) is a RADIUS server (Dahm Fig. 4 No. 440, 404 and 402). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 15 and 40-43, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said authentication client means (52) is arranged to transmit said mapping information in an access request message to said authentication server (8) (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-col. 11 lines 123). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 17, both McCombe and Dahm teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition Dahm teaches an authentication method/system/device, wherein said

authentication client means (52) is arranged to transmit said mapping information in an access request message (Dahm col. 10 lines 50-col. 11 lines 123). The rational for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eleni Shiferaw

Art Unit 2136 February 7, 2005 Juse 8